

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 255006

47

ORIGIN SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /016 R

66606

DRAFTED BY:S/S-O:RBELL

APPROVED BY:S/S-O:L MATTESON

----- 033234

O 281626Z OCT 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO DIRNSA IMMEDIATE

USNMR SHAPE IMMEDIATE

CINCEUR IMMEDIATE

CINCUSAFE IMMEDIATE

USDOCOSOUTH IMMEDIATE

CINCUSAREUR IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 255006

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ACOM

SUBJECT:

USNMR SHAPE FOR GENERAL HAIG AND POLAD

CINCEUR FOR GENERAL HUYSER AND POLAD

CINCUSAFE FOR GENERAL ELLIS AND POLAD

USDOCOSOUTH FOR ADMIRAL TURNER

CINCUSAREUR FOR GENERAL BLANCHARD AND POLAD

FOL SENT ACTION TOSECSTATE INFO ATHENS NICOSIA ADANA ISTANBUL

IZMIR OCT 28, 1975 FROM ANKARA

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 255006

QUOTE S E C R E T ANKARA 8035

EXDIS

DEPARTMENT PLEASE PASS SECDEF; DIRNSA; USNMR  
SHAPE FOR GENERAL HAIG AND POLAD; CINCEUR FOR  
GENERAL HUYSER AND POLAD; CINCUSAFE FOR GENERAL  
ELLIS AND POLAD; USDOCOSOUTH FOR ADMIRAL TURNER;  
CINCUSAREUR FOR GENERAL BLANCHARD AND POLAD

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3  
TAGS: PFOR, MASS, MARR, US, TU  
SUBJ: OCTOBER 28 NEGOTIATING SESSION ON DEFENSE COOPERATION

REF: ANKARA 8009 DTG 280955Z OCT 75

1. AT 8:30 A.M., OCTOBER 28, U.S.-TURKISH NEGOTIATIONS  
FOR REVISED DEFENSE COOPERATION AGREEMENT WERE RESUMED AFTER  
A HIATUS PERIOD DATING FROM LAST JULY 17. TURKISH CIVILIAN  
AND MILITARY DELEGATION WAS CHAIRED BY MFA SECRETARY GENERAL  
SUKUR ELEKDAG. THE U.S. DELEGATION WAS CHAIRED BY AMBASSADOR MACOMBER  
AND COMPOSED OF APPROPRIATE CIVILIAN AND MILITARY COUNTRY  
TEAM MMEBERS.

2. IN OPENING STATEMENT TURKISH CHAIRMAN ELEKDAG ASSERTED  
THAT THREE DECADES OF U.S.-TURKISH FRIENDSHIP REFLECTED IN  
MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL COOPERATION HAD BEEN INTERRUPTED BECAUSE  
OF AN "ARTIFICIAL LINK WRONGLY ESTABLISHED BETWEEN TWO DIFFERENT  
SUBJECTS." (COMMENT: A REFERENCE, OF COURSE, TO CONGRESSIONAL  
CONNECTION OF THE ARMS EMBARGO TO THE CYPRUS SITUATION.)  
ALTHOUG VESTIGES OF THIS "ARTIFICIAL AND UNJUSTIFIED DEVELOPMENT"  
WOULD REMAIN, ELEKDAG CONTINUED, THE AIM SHOULD BE TO REDUCE  
THEM TO A MINIMUM. GUIDED BY LESSONS OF THE PAST, NEGOTI-  
ATPONS COULD SUCCEED BY AGREEMENT UPON BASIC PRINCIPLES RE-  
FLECTING A "BALANCE OF MUTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES."  
ELEKDAG WARNED THAT A NEW AGREEMENT MUST BE DESIGNED TO  
PREVENT THE POSSIBILITY OF A RECURRANCE OF THE RECENT SITUATION,  
WHICH WOULD CAUSE PERHAPS IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO U.S.- TURK  
RELATIONS. NOTING THAT EARLY RESUMPTION OF OUR  
SECURITY RELATIONSHIP IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE  
SELECTION OF WORDS OR COMMAS, ELEKDAG STRESSED NEED TO  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 255006

ARRIVE AT A DEPENDABLE AND SOUND CONCEPT WHICH WOULD INSPIRE  
CONFIDENCE TO BOTH SIDES. HE THEN PRESENTED A FOURTEEN  
LEGAL-SIZE PAGE TURKISH DRAFT OF A NEW AGREEMENT (REFTEL)

3. IN RESPONSE, AMBASSADOR MACOMBER STATED THAT U.S.  
SHARED TURKISH DESIRE FOR RAPID CONCLUSION OF NEGOTIATIONS  
WHICH WOULD PRODUCE A RESULT MEETING BOTH NATIONS' NEEDS. NOTING THAT  
HE DID NOT WISH TO BURDEN PRESENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH PAST CONTROVERSY,

HE NEVERTHELESS FELT IT NECESSARY TO COMMENT ON ELEKDAG'S "ARTIFICIAL-LINK-WRONGLY-ESTABLISHED" COMMENT. IT WAS NOT THE USG VIEW THAT THE CONGRESSIONAL ACTION HAD BEEN TAKEN OUT OF THE BLUE, THE AMBASSADOR STRESSED. ON THE CONTRARY, AS HE HAD REPEATEDLY EXPLAINED TO GOT OFFICIALS, A GREAT MANY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, AND A GREAT MANY OTHER AMERICANS, HAD BELIEVED THAT AN IMPORTANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE U.S. AND TURKEY HAD BEEN VIOLATED, PARTICULARLY IN THE SECOND PHASE OF THE TURKISH OPERATIONS ON CYPRUS. THE AMBASSADOR THEN WENT ON TO NOTE THAT ON THE SUBJECT OF AGREEMENTS, THE U.S. EXECUTIVE BRANCH ITSELF, AGAIN AS HE HAD EXPLAINED ON EARLIER OCCASIONS, WAS CONCERNED OVER THE MANNER IN WHICH THE GOT HAD TERMINATED THE USG-GOT DEFENSE COOPERATION AGREEMENT. HE WOULD NOT, HE SAID, REPEAT OUR DETAILED VIEWS ON THIS QUESTION SINCE THEY WERE CONTAINED IN THE LEGAL MEMORANDUM WHICH HAD ALREADY BEEN PRESENTED TO THE GOT. INSTEAD, HE NOW WISHED TO PLACE THE EMPHASIS OF HIS REMARKS ON MAKING CLEAR THAT THE USG STRONGLY SHARED THE GOT DESIRE TO ARRIVE AS EXPEDITIOUSLY AS POSSIBLE AT A REVISED AND MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY AGREEMENT.

4. ELEKDAG TOOK STRONG EXCEPTION TO THE CONGRESSIONAL ALLEGATION THAT PHASE TWO VIOLATED EXISTING U.S.-TURKISH AGREEMENTS. "WE VIOLATED NOTHING," HE SAID. (THE AMBASSADOR, HAVING MADE HIS EARLIER COMMENT ON THE RECORD, DID NOT ADD FURTHER TO THE EXCHANGE, ON THE THEORY THAT IT WOULD ONLY CREATE UNPRODUCTIVE AND ACRIMONIOUS DIVERSION AT THE OUTSET OF THE NEGOTIATIONS.) WITH RESPECT TO THE U.S. LEGAL MEMORANDUM, ELEKDAG SAID THAT THE GOT IS PREPARING A REPLY WHICH WOULD BE SUBSEQUENTLY PRESENTED TO THE USG.

5. THE AMBASSADOR NOTED THAT IT WAS NEITHER FROM PIQUE  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 255006

NOR AS A BARGANING TACTIC THAT WE HAD POINTED OUT THE INABILITY OF THE U. S. TO PRESERVE INDEFINITELY ITS SUSPENDED ACTIVITIES IN TURKEY. IT WAS A REALITY WHICH MUST BE FACED. THIS REALITY NOT ONLY UNDERSCORED THE NEED FOR RAPID COMPLETION OF THE NEGOTIATIONS, BUT ALSO HAD LED HIM TO HOPE THAT THE SECRETARY GENERAL IN HIS REMARKS WOULD HAVE ADDRESSED THE REQUEST MADE BY SECRETARY KISSINGER THAT OPERATIONS AT THE SUSPENDED INSTALLATIONS BE PARTIALLY RESUMED AS OUR NEGOTIATIONS RECOMMENCED. IN THIS CONNECTION, THE AMBASSADOR NOTED THAT THE GOT HAD NOT YET RECIPROCATED IN ANY WAY PRESIDENT FORD'S EXTRAORDINARY AND SUCCESSFUL EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE A VERY SUBSTANTIAL ALTERATION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL EMBARGO.

6. IN RESPONSE, ELEK DAG FIRST SAID THAT THE GOT COULD NOT RPT NOT SHIFT ITS POSITION SEASONALLY IN RESPONSE TO CONGRESSIONAL ACTION BUT MUST LAY THE FOUNDATION OF SOLID GUARANTEES TO PREVENT OCCURRENCE OF NEW CRISES IN OUR RELATIONSHIP. WHEN PRESSED FURTHER BY THE AMBASSADOR AS TO WHETHER HE WAS IN FACT REJECTING THE REQUEST FOR PARTIAL LIFTING OF SUSPENSION, ELEK DAG SAID THAT HE WAS NOT, REPEAT NOT. THAT QUESTION HAS BEEN PLACED BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT BY THE FOREIGN MINISTER AND IN DUE TIME THE GOVERNMENT WILL GIVE ITS ANSWER. THIS ANSWER, HE AID, WOULD BE GIVEN DIRECTLY TO THE AMBASSADOR BY THE FOREIGN MINISTER.

7. WHEN AMBASSADOR ASKED WHETHER TURKISH DELEGATION WISHED TO RECESS BRIEFLY IN ORDER TO PERMIT U.S. REPRESENTATIVES TO READ THROUGH THE TURKISH DRAFT WHICH HAD JUST BEEN PRESENTED TO IT, ELEK DAG INSTEAD SUGGESTED THAT IT BE TAKEN BACK TO THE EMBASSY FOR CAREFUL STUDY. THE SECRETARY GENERAL THEN POINTED OUT THAT HE WOULD BE IN JIDDA FROM OCTOBER 30 TO NOVEMBER 6, AND, IF IN INTERIM U.S. DESIRED FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF TEXT, MEETINGS AT WORKING LEVEL COULD BE CALLED.

8. BEFORE ADJOURNING, HOWEVER, ELEK DAG DREW PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO SUBARTICLE 10 OF ARTICLE II OF THE DRAFT CONCERNING COMPENSATION, WHICH HE READ IN FULL. HE POINTED OUT THAT THE ANNUAL DOLLAR FIGURE FOR THIS COMPENSATION HAD BEEN  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 255006

LEFT BLANK BECAUSE IT WOULD BE BASED UPON THE NUMBER AND SIZE OF INSTALLATIONS--"AND THE U.S. MAY WISH TO RESORT TO STREAMLINING, OR TO ELIMINATE SOME BASES." ELEK DAG SAID, HOWEVER, THAT ACCORDING TO THE FIGURES PRESENTED TO THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL, IF THE U.S. WISHED TO CONTINUE THE EXACT SITUATION WHICH EXISTED PRIOR TO JULY 25, 1975, THE ANNUAL COMPENSATION EXPECTED BY THE GOT WOULD BE ONE AND ONE-HALF BILLION DOLLARS.

9. AMBASSADOR MACOMBER, AFTER A CONSIDERABLE PAUSE, STATED THAT, SINCE U.S. DELEGATION HAD NOT REPEAT NOT HAD TIME TO STUDY THE TEXT, IT WOULD RESERVE ALL COMMENT AT THIS TIME. THE SECRETARY GENERAL THEN STRESSED THE NEED TO PREVENT LEAKS, NOTING THAT IT WAS PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT THAT NO REPEAT NO REFERENCE BE MADE TO THE \$1.5 BILLION FIGURE. AMBASSADOR MACOMBER CONCURRED IN THE NEED TO PREVENT LEAKS. HE ADDED THAT IT WOULD BE MOST UNFORTUNATE IF EITHER THE CONTENTS OF TURKISH PROPOSED DRAFT (WHICH HE HAD BY THEN HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO GLANCE THROUGH BRIEFLY) OR U.S. CONCERN AND DISCOURAGEMENT OVER ITS CONTENTS BECAME PUBLIC.

10. JUST PRIOR TO ADJOURNMENT, ELEK DAG OBTAINED U.S.

CHAIRMAN'S APPROVAL OF WORDING OF SIMPLE  
STATEMENT TO BE GIVEN TO PRESS UPON MEETING'S CONCLUSION.  
TEXT HAS BEEN SENT TO DEPT IN SEPTEL.  
MACOMBER UNQUOTE KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MILITARY ASSISTANCE, NEGOTIATIONS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS, MILITARY BASES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 28 OCT 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** CunninFX  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE255006  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** O:RBELL  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X3  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750373-0856  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751017/aaaaaowz.tel  
**Line Count:** 227  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN SS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 5  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** 75 ANKARA 8009  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** CunninFX  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 08 MAY 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <08 MAY 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <29 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** OCTOBER 28 NEGOTIATIONS ON DEFENSE COOPERATION  
**TAGS:** ACOM, PFOR, MARR, MASS, US, TU  
**To:** NSA MULTIPLE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006